

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,472	10/16/2003	Louis Edward Behrens	ROC920030241US1	8869
30206	7590 02/24/2005		EXAM	INER
IBM CORPORATION			PHAM, MINH CHAU THI	
ROCHESTER IP LAW DEPT. 917 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	R, MN 55901-7829		1724	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>U</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/687,472	BEHRENS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	 This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	·	·
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		v the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con-	_	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	l 19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		olication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I		eceived.
ttachment(s)	_	
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Discrete Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of Info	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/16/03</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/687,472

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 6-16, 18-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiilunen (6,780,216 B2), in view of Eisenhauer (6,699,301 B1).

Killunen discloses a protective enclosure (42) for an electronic device (22) comprising a filter assembly (42) constructed for at least partially enclosing the electronic device (22) for controlling air flow and trapping particulates (col. 2, lines 60-64; col. 3, lines 51-60; col. 5, lines 4-15). Claims 1-3, 6-16, 18-22 and 24 differ from the disclosure of Kiilunen in that the filter provides EMC shielding. Eisenhauer discloses a filter providing EMC shielding effective for suppressing external detection of electromagnetic emissions from an electronic device (col. 1, line 49 through col. 2, line

2; col. 6, lines 19-29; col. 7, lines 4-7). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an EMC shielding as taught by Eisenhauer in the apparatus of Kiilunen so that no electromagnetic radiation passes inward or outward through the walls of the electronic device.

Claims 4, 5, 17, 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiilunen (6,780,216 B2), in view of Eisenhauer (6,699,301 B1), as applied supra, and further in view of Jeffries et al (6,705,787 B2).

Claims 4, 5, 17, 23 and 25-27 call for a flexible portion attached to the filter over the keyboard. Jeffries et al disclose a flexible portion (10) for placement over a keyboard (16) which is constructed of resiliently flexible transparent membrane. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a flexible membrane over the keyboard as taught by Jeffries et al in the filter apparatus of Kiilunen and Eisenhauser since the transparent portion over the keyboard would allow the user to manipulate the keyboard without affecting the function of the filter bag over the electronic device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,472

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1724

February 22, 2005